

LAW OFFICES OF

*Aidala, Bertuna & Kamins, P.C.*

ARTHUR L. AIDALA  
MARIANNE E. BERTUNA  
HON. BARRY KAMINS (RET.)  
JOHN S. ESPOSITO  
MICHAEL T. JACCARINO  
IMRAN H. ANSARI  
ANDREA M. ARRIGO  
DIANA FABI SAMSON

SENIOR COUNSEL  
LOUIS R. AIDALA  
JOSEPH P. BARATTA

546 FIFTH AVENUE  
NEW YORK, NY 10036  
TELEPHONE: (212) 486-0000  
FAX: (212) 750-8222  
WWW.AIDALALAW.COM

WWW.AIDALALAW.COM

WWW.AIDALALAW.COM

WWW.AIDALALAW.COM

8118-13<sup>TH</sup> AVENUE  
BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11228  
TEL: (718) 238-9898  
FAX: (718) 921-3292

OF COUNSEL

JOSEPH A. BARATTA

ANTOINETTE LANTERI

WILLIAM R. SANTO

PETER S. THOMAS

RENÉ SPASOJEVICH

LAWRENCE SPASOJEVICH

Hon. Ann M Donnelly  
United States District Court  
Eastern District of New York  
225 Cadman Plaza East  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
**Filed via ECF**

January 12, 2023

**RE: *Fausto Delgado vs. All Shore Marine Construction Inc.***  
**Docket No. 2:22-cv-00340-AMD-ARL (Pre-Motion Conference Request)**

Dear Judge Donnelly:

Pursuant to this Court's Individual Practice and Rules 4(A), please allow this correspondence to serve as a request for a conference with the Court for leave to amend the Complaint in this action.

It is well settled that Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("Rule") 15 provides that "[t]he court should freely give leave [to amend] when justice so requires." Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2). Generally, a motion to amend should be denied "only for reasons such as undue delay, bad faith, futility of the amendment or prejudice to the other party." *Crippen v. Town of Hempstead*, No. 07-CV-3478 (JFB)(ARL), 2013 WL 2322874, at \*1 (E.D.N.Y. May 22, 2013); see *Burch v. Pioneer Credit Recovery, Inc.*, 551 F.3d 122, 126 (2d Cir. 2008) (per curiam). *Galloway v. Cnty. of Nassau*, 538 F. Supp. 3d 248, 252-53 (E.D.N.Y. 2021).

Annexed hereto as Exhibit "A" is a copy of the proposed amended complaint. Plaintiff seeks leave to amend in order to add two additional plaintiffs to this matter and end this matter's status as a collective action. Upon conducting further investigation, we have discovered two additional Plaintiffs. The same research has also revealed that it is not prudent to continue this matter as a collective action.

Lastly, Plaintiff seeks leave to amend in order to assert a claim of retaliation. This claim is based upon information and evidence not present when Plaintiff filed the original complaint.

Justice requires that leave be given, and there is no presence of undue delay, bad faith, or futility of the amend. Nor is there prejudice to be suffered by the Defendants; in fact, the opposite

as such amendment will result in narrowing of the legal issues with the withdrawal of the collective action.

I thank the Court for its attention to this matter.

Respectfully,

/S/  
Lawrence Spasojevich, Esq.  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*